Christopher J. Manfredi, OSB #062476 chris@francishansen.com FRANCIS HANSEN & MARTIN LLP 1148 NW Hill Street Bend, OR 97703 (541) 389-5010 (541) 382-7068 (fax) Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

EUGENE DIVISION

NANCY BLAKE, an individual,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CC MEDICAL TRANSPORT, INC., an Oregon corporation; CHECKER CAB OF CENTRAL OREGON, INC., an Oregon corporation; CANNON F. BRAATZ, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:17-cv-00129

COMPLAINT

(Federal and State Wage Claims)

Jury Trial Requested

Plaintiff, Nancy Blake, alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1.

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this complaint pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (Federal Question), and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

111



This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims set forth in this

complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Both the federal and state claims alleged

herein arise from a common nucleus of operative facts. The state actions are so related

to the federal claims that they form part of the same controversy, and the actions would

ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

3.

Venue is proper in the District of Oregon pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2)

because Defendants transacted business in this district, Plaintiff was employed by

Defendants in this district, and the acts and omissions that are the subject of this suit

transpired in this district.

<u>PARTIES</u>

4.

Defendant CC Medical Transport, Inc. is an Oregon Corporation doing business

in Deschutes County, Oregon.

5.

Defendant Checker Cab Of Central Oregon, Inc. ("Checker Cab" collectively with

CC Medical Transport, Inc. the "Corporate Defendants") is an Oregon Corporation doing

business in Deschutes County, Oregon.

2 - COMPLAINT

FRANCIS HANSEN & MARTIN LLP 1148 NW HILL STREET, BEND, OR 97703-1914 TEL: (541) 389-5010 • FAX: (541) 382-7068

WWW.FRANCISHANSEN.COM

Defendant, Cannon Braatz ("Braatz") is the owner and sole officer of both CC

Medical Transport, Inc. and Checker Cab of Central Oregon, Inc. Braatz resides in

Deschutes County, Oregon.

7.

As the owner and sole officer of the Corporate Defendants, Braatz exercises

operational control over the Corporate Defendants, including but not limited to, control

over time-keeping and pay practices for employees, and control over the employment

relationship between the Corporate Defendants and their employees.

8.

At all material times, Plaintiff Nancy Blake was employed by Defendants as a

driver. At all times during her employment by Defendants, Plaintiff was a non-exempt

hourly employee.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

9.

CC Medical Transport provides non-emergency medical transportation services

to individuals in Central Oregon. At all material times, CC Medical Transport's annual

revenue exceeds \$500,000.00.

10.

Checker Cab provides general transportation services to individuals in Central

Oregon. At all material times, Checker Cab's annual revenue exceeds \$500,000.00.

3 - COMPLAINT

Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants, or either of them, for approximately

three (3) years. Plaintiff had no authority to hire, fire, or otherwise discipline Defendants'

employees.

12.

In the scope of her employment by Defendants, Plaintiff worked as a driver

providing transportation services to individuals, including but not limited to individuals

traveling to Central Oregon from out of state, as well as individuals traveling from

Central Oregon to out of state destinations. Plaintiff provided these transportation

services in a vehicle owned by Defendants, and based on a schedule set by

Defendants. Defendants provided Plaintiff with a schedule setting out the pick-up times,

and the pick-up and drop-off locations for customers of Defendants.

13.

Throughout her employment, Plaintiff had no choice in whether to accept or

decline transportation to Defendants' customers. Instead, Plaintiff provided

transportation services based on the schedule made by Defendants.

14.

Defendants did not maintain records of the hours worked by Plaintiff. Nor did

Defendants provide Plaintiff with pay stubs reflecting Plaintiff's hours of work, rate of

pay, authorized/required deductions and other information required under applicable

law. Instead, Defendants paid Plaintiff based on a formula for each ride provided by

Plaintiff to Defendants' customers. Defendants created this formula.

4 - COMPLAINT

Plaintiff regularly worked over forty (40) hours per week.

16.

By paying Plaintiff on a per ride basis, rather than an hourly basis, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the minimum wage under state and federal law, and also failed to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

17.

Defendants knew of, and/or showed reckless disregard for, Defendants' practices by which Plaintiffs were not paid for all hours worked.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(FLSA Minimum Wage Claim)

18.

All previous paragraphs are incorporated by this reference.

19.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff at least \$7.25 per hour for all hours worked in a given workweek, when those wages were due, but Defendants willfully and repeatedly failed to do so.

20.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the unpaid minimum wages due, in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$18,000.00, in addition to liquidated (double) damages in the same amount, together



with attorneys' fees and costs, as well as pre- and post-judgment interest, and such

other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(FLSA Overtime Claim)

21.

Paragraphs 1-17, inclusive, are incorporated by this reference.

22.

Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

23.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff one and

one half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a

given workweek, when those wages were due, but Defendants willfully and repeatedly

failed to do so.

24.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the

unpaid overtime wages due, in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than

\$13,000.00, in addition to liquidated (double) damages in the same amount, together

with attorneys' fees and costs, as well as pre- and post-judgment interest, and such

other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just.

6 - COMPLAINT

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(FLSA Wage Claim Discrimination and Retaliation)

25.

All previous paragraphs are incorporated by this reference.

26.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3), Defendants are prohibited from discharging or discriminating against any employee for making a wage claim.

27.

Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff for complaining about and/or making the above-referenced claims relating Defendants' unlawful pay practices referenced herein to Defendants and/or Defendants' agents. Defendants' unlawful discrimination consists of terminating Plaintiff's employment almost immediately after Plaintiff complained about Defendants' unlawful pay practices.

28.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to an award of damages, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and costs, post-judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Oregon Minimum Wage Claim)

29.

Paragraphs 1-17 are incorporated by this reference.



Pursuant to ORS 653.025, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff at least the

Oregon statutory minimum wage rate for each hour worked in a given workweek when

those wages were due. At all material times herein, the applicable minimum wage rate

ranged from \$9.10 to \$9.75 per hour.

31.

Defendants willfully and repeatedly failed to comply with the minimum wage

requirements set forth above by failing to pay Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage

rate.

32.

Pursuant to ORS 653.055, Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the unpaid

minimum wages due, in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$24,000.00.

Pursuant to ORS 652.150, Plaintiff is also entitled to penalty wages of 30 days of pay at

her regular rate of pay based on Defendants' failure to pay minimum wages when due.

Plaintiff is also entitled to recover her attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to ORS

652.200, as well as pre- and post-judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable

relief as this Court deems just.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Oregon Overtime Claim)

33.

Paragraphs 1-17 are incorporated by this reference.

8 - COMPLAINT

1 1: 1 T

FRANCIS HANSEN & MARTIN LLP 1148 NW HILL STREET, BEND, OR 97703-1914

Tel: (541) 389-5010 • Fax: (541) 382-7068

WWW.FRANCISHANSEN.COM

Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

35.

Pursuant to ORS 653.261, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff one and one half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a given workweek, when those wages were due, but willfully and repeatedly failed to do so.

36.

Pursuant to ORS 653.055, Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the unpaid overtime wages due, in an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$15,000.00. Pursuant to ORS 652.150, Plaintiff is also entitled to penalty wages of 30 days of pay at her regular rate of pay based on Defendants' failure to pay overtime wages when due. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover her attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to ORS 652.200, as well as pre- and post-judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Oregon Unpaid Wages Upon Termination Claim)

37.

Paragraphs 1-17 are incorporated by this reference.



Pursuant to ORS 652.140, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff all wages

due by the statutory deadline upon separation of her employment.

38.

Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff all wages they were due by the statutory

deadline upon separation of her employment.

39.

Pursuant to ORS 652.150, Plaintiff is entitled to all unpaid wages remaining due

in an amount to be proven at trial, an award of statutory penalty wages in an amount

equal to 30 days of wages at their regular rate of pay, an award of her attorneys' fees

and costs pursuant to ORS 652.200, pre- and post-judgment interest, and such other

legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RLIEF

(Oregon Wage Claim Discrimination and Retaliation)

40.

Paragraphs 1-17, and 29-39, inclusive, are incorporated by this reference.

41.

Pursuant to ORS 652.355, Defendants are prohibited from discharging or

discriminating against any employee for making a wage claim and/or consulting an

attorney about a wage claim.

10 - COMPLAINT

Defendants have discriminated and retaliated against Plaintiff for complaining

about Defendants' unlawful pay practices referenced herein, making a claim regarding

the same, and/or consulting an attorney regarding the same. Defendants' unlawful

discrimination/retaliation consists of terminating Plaintiff's employment almost

immediately after Plaintiff complained about Defendants' unlawful pay practices.

43.

Pursuant to ORS 659A.885, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of damages,

equitable relief, attorneys' fees and costs, post-judgment interest, and such other legal

and equitable relief as this Court deems just.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor

and against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. Awarding Plaintiff all unpaid regular and overtime wages alleged herein;

2. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

3. Awarding Plaintiff statutory penalty wages pursuant to ORS 652.150;

4. Awarding Plaintiff damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and ORS 652.355;

5. Awarding Plaintiff her reasonable costs and attorneys' fees;

6. Awarding Plaintiff pre and post-judgment interest on all amounts due to Plaintiff,

as appropriate and as permitted by law;

11 - COMPLAINT

- 7. Enjoining Defendants from continuing to perpetrate the unlawful practices described above;
- 8. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief the Court deems equitable and just.

DATED this 25th day of January 2017.

FRANCIS HANSEN & MARTIN LLP

s/ Christopher J. Manfredi Christopher J. Manfredi, OSB #062476 (541) 389-5010 Attorney for Plaintiff